
Environmental and Social Impacts of Geothermal Energy Development

Sapan C. Anne

Geothermal Development Company Limited, P.O. Box 100746-00101, Nairobi, Kenya

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1303000169>

Received: 23 March 2026; Accepted: 30 March 2026; Published: 12 April 2026

ABSTRACT

Geothermal energy presents a promising pathway for sustainable development, offering low-carbon electricity generation and economic growth. However, its expansion raises critical environmental and social concerns that must be systematically addressed. This study evaluates the impacts of geothermal development on vegetation dynamics, water quality, and community well-being, with a focus on ecologically sensitive and culturally significant landscapes. Environmental risks include land degradation, contamination of surface and groundwater by geothermal fluids, air emissions such as hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), and disruption of wildlife habitats. Social challenges encompass land acquisition conflicts, displacement of pastoralist communities, erosion of cultural heritage, and inequitable distribution of benefits. Through an integrated assessment framework combining remote sensing, hydro-geochemical analysis, and stakeholder engagement, the research aims to inform mitigation strategies and enhance inclusive planning. The findings underscore the need for robust Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs), participatory governance, and adaptive monitoring systems to ensure that geothermal development aligns with conservation goals and community resilience.

Keywords: Geothermal development, East African Rift, ESIA, pastoralist livelihoods.

INTRODUCTION

Geothermal energy is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of sustainable development, offering a reliable, high-capacity-factor alternative to fossil fuels. In regions such as Eastern and Southern Africa, where tectonic activity along the East African Rift System (EARS) provides abundant high-enthalpy geothermal potential, governments are accelerating exploration to meet the "Energy Trilemma": security, equity, and environmental sustainability.

While geothermal energy is categorized as "green," the industrial scale of its extraction introduces complex externalities. Environmentally, the transition from exploration to exploitation involves significant land-use changes and the management of geothermal brines. Socially, the "territorialization" of energy production often overlaps with indigenous lands, necessitating a move beyond technical efficiency toward Social License to Operate (SLO). This study evaluates these impacts to inform evidence-based mitigation and participatory governance.

Geothermal Development In The Kenyan Context

Kenya stands as a global leader in geothermal energy, yet its development serves as a critical case study for the tension between national energy goals and local conservation/social needs.

Ecological Encroachment (Olkaria)

The Olkaria geothermal field is unique due to its location within Hell's Gate National Park. This overlap necessitates a delicate balance between industrial activity and the protection of IUCN-listed species. Oduor (2010) notes that the industrial "noise" and physical footprint can alter the migratory patterns of raptors and large mammals, requiring strict spatial planning.

Hydro-geochemical Risks (Menengai)

The Menengai caldera presents specific risks regarding aquifer vulnerability. Geothermal fluids are naturally enriched in toxic trace elements. Without rigorous reinjection, these fluids risk entering the shallow groundwater systems that support local agricultural and domestic needs (National Environment Management Authority [NEMA], 2025).

Environmental Issues and Technical Mitigation

An academic assessment of geothermal impacts requires a look at the "Source-Pathway-Receptor" model of pollution and degradation.

Land Degradation and Habitat Fragmentation

The construction of access roads and steam-gathering systems creates "linear barriers" in the landscape. This results in habitat fragmentation, where wildlife populations are isolated into smaller, less viable patches.

- **Mitigation: Directional drilling** allows for multiple wells to be drilled from a single pad, significantly reducing the surface footprint. Post-construction, the use of native flora for rehabilitation ensures that the local "seed bank" is preserved (KenGen, 2021).

Geochemical Contamination of Water Resources

Geothermal brines often contain high concentrations of Arsenic (As), Boron (B), and Fluoride (F). If discharged into surface sumps, these can leach into the soil or overflow during heavy rift-valley rains.

- **Mitigation: 100% Reinjection** is the industry gold standard. By returning the cooled brine to the reservoir, developers not only prevent surface pollution but also maintain the hydraulic pressure of the geothermal field (International Geothermal Association [IGA], 2015).

Atmospheric Chemistry and Air Quality

Flash-steam plants release non-condensable gases (NCGs). While CO₂ levels are low, H₂S (Hydrogen Sulphide) can be toxic at high concentrations and causes localized "acid rain" which affects metal infrastructure and sensitive vegetation.

- **Mitigation:** Advanced H₂S Abatement systems (e.g., the Stretford or AMIS processes) convert the gas into elemental sulfur or sulfate, which can sometimes be repurposed as industrial fertilizer (U.S. Department of Energy [DOE], 2023).

Social Issues and Procedural Justice

The social impact of geothermal development is often framed through the lens of Political Ecology, examining how power dynamics influence the distribution of energy benefits.

Displacement and Resettlement

In pastoralist regions like Paka in Baringo, land is not just a commodity but a foundation for livelihood and identity (Anne, S.C. *et al*, 2026). Resettlement often fails to account for the loss of "communal grazing routes," leading to economic marginalization (Naneu, 2022).

- **Mitigation:** Moving toward Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) ensures that communities are not only consulted, but are active partners in the project's spatial design.

Cultural and Spiritual Heritage

Geothermal sites are often geologically "active" areas that hold spiritual significance. The industrialization of these sites can be viewed as a form of cultural erasure.

- **Mitigation:** Integrated Cultural Impact Assessments (CIAs) should be conducted alongside ESIA. Protecting sacred steam vents or burial sites via "buffer zones" is essential for social harmony (UNESCO, 2011).

Equity in Benefit-Sharing

A common academic critique of large-scale energy projects is the "Enclave Effect," where energy is exported to the national grid while the host community remains in "energy poverty."

- **Mitigation:** Developers should implement Local Content Policies and direct-use applications (e.g., using geothermal heat for local greenhouses or milk pasteurization) to ensure tangible local benefits (IGA, 2020).

CONCLUSION

Geothermal energy is vital for the East African transition to a low-carbon economy. However, its sustainability is not inherent; it must be engineered through adaptive management. This study concludes that the "Triple Bottom Line" (Social, Environmental, and Economic) can only be met when technical mitigation (like closed-loop reinjection) is paired with robust social safeguards and participatory governance.

REFERENCES

1. Anne, S. C., Akumu, E. O., & Kitetu, J. J. (2026). Environmental and health impacts of geothermal operations in Tiaty East Sub-County, Baringo County, Kenya: A community-based statistical analysis. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation (IJRSI)*, 12(12), 988–999.
2. International Energy Agency. (2016a). Environmental impacts of geothermal energy. IEA Publications.
3. International Energy Agency. (2016b). Stakeholder engagement in renewable energy development. IEA Publications.
4. International Geothermal Association. (2000). Reinjection strategies for sustainable geothermal development. IGA Press.
5. International Geothermal Association. (2015). Geothermal fluids and water quality risks. IGA Press.
6. International Geothermal Association. (2020). Social sustainability in geothermal projects. IGA Press.
7. KenGen. (2021). Olkaria IV reforestation and biodiversity monitoring report. Kenya Electricity Generating Company.
8. Naneu, L. (2022). Environmental impact of geothermal development in Suswa, Kenya [Unpublished master's thesis]. University of Nairobi.
9. National Environment Management Authority. (2025). Menengai geothermal ESIA report. NEMA Kenya.
10. Oduor, J. (2010, April 11–16). Environmental and social considerations in geothermal development [Paper presentation]. FIG Congress, Sydney, Australia.
11. U.S. Department of Energy. (2023). Geothermal technologies office: Environmental analysis. Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy.
12. UNESCO. (2011). Cultural impact assessment guidelines. UNESCO World Heritage Centre.
13. World Bank. (2017). Environmental and social framework (ESF): Grievance mechanism standards. World Bank Group.