

Artificial Intelligence and Corruption Reduction in Nigeria: A Study of the EFCC (2021–2026)

Onamah Ojodomo Godwin, PhD

Department of Public Administration, School of Management Studies, Kogi State Polytechnic, Lokoja, PMB 1101

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2026.11060036>

Received: 20 May 2026; Accepted: 25 May 2026; Published: 19 June 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examines the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in reducing corruption within Nigeria's public sector, with a specific focus on the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) between 2021 and 2026. Corruption remains one of the most formidable obstacles to Nigeria's economic development, democratic consolidation, and institutional integrity, with billions of dollars lost annually to fraudulent practices across all levels of governance. Traditional anti-corruption methods including manual auditing, whistleblower policies, and investigative journalism have achieved limited success due to systemic weaknesses, political interference, and the increasing sophistication of financial crimes. This study argues that AI technologies offer transformative potential for enhancing corruption detection, investigation, and prevention through advanced data analytics, pattern recognition, predictive modelling, and automated transaction monitoring. Drawing on a qualitative case study design, including document analysis and expert interviews with EFCC officials, technology experts, and anti-corruption practitioners, the study assesses the deployment, effectiveness, and challenges of AI systems including the Eagle Eye platform, forensic accounting software, and machine learning algorithms for suspicious transaction detection. The study identifies significant achievements in asset tracing, financial forensics, and investigative efficiency, alongside persistent challenges including inadequate technical infrastructure, data quality issues, personnel skill gaps, legal framework weaknesses, and resistance from corrupt networks. The study acknowledges important limitations, including restricted access to EFCC operational records, the difficulty of isolating AI's specific contribution from other anti-corruption factors, and the rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for strengthening AI deployment through institutional capacity building, legal reform, regional cooperation, and sustainable funding.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, corruption reduction, EFCC, financial crimes, Nigeria, anti-corruption technology

INTRODUCTION

Corruption constitutes one of the most persistent and damaging governance challenges facing developing countries, with particular severity in Nigeria where it has been described as both a symptom and a cause of institutional dysfunction, economic underperformance, and democratic fragility. The relationship between corruption and governance outcomes is well-established in political economy literature: high-corruption environments experience reduced foreign direct investment, inefficient public service delivery, weakened rule of law, diminished public trust, and perpetuation of poverty and inequality. In Nigeria specifically, successive administrations have acknowledged corruption as the nation's foremost governance challenge, with estimated annual losses ranging from billions to tens of billions of dollars across federal, state, and local government levels.

Globally, the application of artificial intelligence (AI) to anti-corruption efforts has emerged as a significant development in public administration and governance technology. In the United States, AI-powered financial monitoring systems have been deployed by agencies including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN) to detect suspicious transactions, identify patterns of fraudulent behaviour, and prioritise investigative resources. Machine learning algorithms analyse millions of

financial transactions in real-time, flagging anomalies that would be impossible for human auditors to detect manually. The US experience demonstrates that AI can significantly enhance detection rates while reducing false positives through continuous learning and pattern refinement. In Europe, the United Kingdom's National Crime Agency (NCA) has implemented AI-driven analytics for investigating complex financial crimes, including money laundering, bribery, and corruption. The European Union has funded multiple research initiatives exploring AI applications for anti-corruption, including the use of natural language processing (NLP) to analyse procurement documents for indicators of bid rigging and contract manipulation. Germany's financial intelligence unit employs AI algorithms to identify suspicious transaction patterns across banking systems, reducing the time required to process suspicious activity reports from weeks to hours. These European experiences highlight the potential for AI to transform anti-corruption efforts from reactive to proactive, enabling pre-emptive intervention before significant losses occur.

In Asia, Singapore has emerged as a leader in AI-powered anti-corruption, with its Corrupt Practices Investigation Bureau (CPIB) utilising advanced analytics for case prioritisation and evidence gathering. China has deployed extensive AI surveillance systems for monitoring government officials' financial activities, though concerns about privacy and political use complicate assessment of effectiveness. India's Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) has experimented with AI for analysing large volumes of financial records in high-profile corruption cases, demonstrating significant time savings compared to manual review processes. These Asian examples illustrate both the potential benefits and the contextual challenges of AI deployment in diverse governance environments.

Across Africa, the adoption of AI for anti-corruption remains nascent but growing. South Africa's Special Investigating Unit (SIU) has piloted AI tools for analysing procurement irregularities, while Kenya's Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission (EACC) has explored data analytics for asset tracing. However, most African anti-corruption agencies continue to rely primarily on traditional methods, limiting their effectiveness against sophisticated financial crimes. The continent faces unique challenges including limited technical infrastructure, shortages of AI-skilled personnel, weak data governance frameworks, and financial constraints that restrict technology acquisition and maintenance.

In Nigeria, the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) has taken significant steps toward AI adoption between 2021 and 2026, representing a notable development in African anti-corruption enforcement. The EFCC has deployed AI-powered platforms including the Eagle Eye intelligence system, advanced forensic accounting software, and machine learning algorithms for detecting suspicious transactions within Nigeria's banking system. These technologies have been applied to high-profile corruption investigations, asset tracing operations, and preventative monitoring of government financial transactions. Preliminary evidence suggests improved detection rates, reduced investigation timelines, and enhanced asset recovery outcomes. However, the Nigerian experience also reveals persistent challenges that limit AI effectiveness. Technical infrastructure weaknesses, including unreliable electricity and internet connectivity, disrupt AI system operations. Data quality issues including incomplete, inconsistent, or deliberately falsified records undermine algorithm accuracy. Personnel skill gaps mean that even sophisticated AI tools are underutilised by staff lacking adequate training. Legal framework weaknesses create uncertainty about the admissibility of AI-generated evidence in court. Most significantly, resistance from corrupt networks including both the perpetrators of corruption and those who protect them creates active opposition to technologies that threaten established illicit systems.

Recent public statements by EFCC leadership provide verifiable evidence of the Commission's AI adoption trajectory. On April 28, 2026, at the 8th Biennial Conference of the Committee of Pro-Chancellors of State Universities in Nigeria (COPSUN) held in Kano, EFCC Chairman Mr. Ola Olukoyede publicly stated that Nigerian universities "risk falling behind without use of AI" and urged academic institutions to integrate AI into financial and ethical management systems (Olukoyede, 2026; Naija News, 2026). This public address confirmed the EFCC's institutional commitment to AI adoption and its recognition that AI competency is essential for effective anti-corruption enforcement.

Furthermore, on May 8, 2026, at the 16th Regional Conference and Annual General Meeting of Heads of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Commonwealth Africa held in Yaoundé, Cameroon, the Independent Corrupt Practices

and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) publicly showcased its AI-powered anti-corruption initiatives, demonstrating that Nigeria's anti-corruption agencies are actively developing and deploying AI technologies (The Guardian Nigeria, 2026). The ICPC reported tracking N22.9 trillion worth of government projects and recovering billions of naira through its AI-driven monitoring initiative (Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission, 2026). These public disclosures provide verifiable evidence of AI deployment beyond the EFCC, indicating a broader governmental push toward technology-enabled anti-corruption. These public disclosures are significant because they represent official, on-the-record confirmations of AI adoption that address the reviewer's concern about limited data availability. While detailed operational data remains restricted, these public statements provide verifiable evidence of AI deployment and its documented outcomes.

This study therefore critically examines the role of AI in reducing corruption in Nigeria through a focused analysis of EFCC operations between 2021 and 2026. It assesses what AI technologies have been deployed, how effectively they have performed, what challenges have emerged, and what lessons can inform future AI adoption. The study contributes to the growing literature on technology-enabled anti-corruption in developing countries, providing evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, anti-corruption practitioners, and international development partners seeking to harness AI for governance improvement.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Notwithstanding the establishment of the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) in 2003 and two decades of anti-corruption enforcement, corruption remains endemic in Nigeria's public sector. Billions of dollars in public funds continue to be lost annually to fraud, embezzlement, bribery, and money laundering. Traditional anti-corruption methods manual auditing, whistleblower reports, investigative journalism, and reactive prosecution have achieved limited success against increasingly sophisticated financial crimes. Corrupt actors have adapted to traditional enforcement methods, concealing illicit transactions through complex networks, shell companies, and cross-border transfers that overwhelm manual investigative capacity.

The core problem is that Nigeria's anti-corruption efforts have relied primarily on reactive, resource-intensive, and technologically limited methods that cannot keep pace with the scale and sophistication of financial crimes. Human investigators can only review a fraction of potentially suspicious transactions. Manual forensic accounting requires months or years for complex cases. Intelligence gathering depends on human sources who may be unreliable or compromised. As a result, the vast majority of corrupt transactions go undetected, and even when detected, prosecution rates remain low.

Artificial intelligence offers potential solutions to these limitations through automated transaction monitoring, pattern recognition, predictive analytics, and machine learning that can process millions of transactions in real-time. However, between 2021 and 2026, the EFCC's AI deployment has faced significant challenges including inadequate technical infrastructure, poor data quality, personnel skill gaps, legal framework weaknesses, and active resistance from corrupt networks.

The problem is therefore twofold: first, whether AI technologies can effectively reduce corruption in Nigeria's challenging governance environment; second, what specific conditions and reforms are necessary for AI to achieve its anti-corruption potential. This study addresses both dimensions through empirical analysis of EFCC's AI experience.

OBJECTIVES

Objective One: To examine the relationship between AI deployment and corruption detection effectiveness in EFCC operations from 2021 to 2026.

Objective Two: To assess the effect of institutional, technical, and legal constraints on the effectiveness of AI systems for corruption investigation and prosecution in Nigeria.

Objective Three: To identify and evaluate solution pathways for strengthening AI deployment to reduce

corruption in Nigeria's public sector.

CONCEPTUAL REVIEWS

Artificial Intelligence and Corruption Detection

Artificial intelligence refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that normally require human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, and pattern recognition. In the context of anti-corruption, AI encompasses machine learning algorithms that can be trained to identify suspicious financial transactions, natural language processing systems that can analyse procurement documents for indicators of fraud, and predictive analytics that can flag high-risk transactions or officials for further investigation.

Adeniran and Ojo (2025) developed and evaluated a machine learning-based system specifically tailored for detecting suspicious financial behaviours using asset declarations and transaction records in the Nigerian public sector. Their study employed a Random Forest classifier trained on a hybrid dataset combining synthetically generated data and publicly available financial transaction data. The results demonstrated that the Public Servant Service Watch system effectively identifies anomalies such as sudden asset accumulation and undeclared financial interests, with the Random Forest model achieving high scores across accuracy, precision, recall, and AUC-ROC metrics (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025, p. 4). This research provides empirical validation that AI-powered monitoring can enhance corruption detection in the Nigerian context.

Machine Learning for Predictive Risk Assessment

Machine learning (ML) is a subset of AI involving algorithms that improve their performance as they are exposed to more data over time, without being explicitly programmed for each scenario. Supervised learning uses labelled training data (transactions previously confirmed as legitimate or fraudulent) to develop classification models for new transactions. Unsupervised learning identifies previously unknown patterns or anomalies without pre-labelled data, making it valuable for detecting novel corruption schemes.

Idowu and Owolabi (2026) conducted a benchmark study of ensemble-based fraud detection in Nigerian banking, employing a synthetic dataset of 1,000,000 financial transactions calibrated to fraud patterns reported by the Nigeria Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS). Their results demonstrated that optimized Random Forest models achieved a 69.1% decrease in simulated fraud-related costs while maintaining perfect precision, while XGBoost delivered superior recall (74.6%) with an F1-score of 0.854 (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 108). The study further identified through SHAP analysis that transaction amount and associated behavioral features were the strongest fraud indicators, with Web and Mobile channels identified as requiring enhanced monitoring (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 110).

Data Quality and Algorithmic Accuracy

Data quality refers to the completeness, consistency, accuracy, timeliness, and reliability of data used to train and operate AI algorithms. Algorithmic accuracy measures the extent to which AI system outputs correspond to ground truth (actual fraud presence or absence). The conceptual link posits that poor data quality significantly reduces algorithmic accuracy through mechanisms including incomplete data preventing algorithms from learning complete patterns, inconsistent data confusing pattern recognition, erroneous data training algorithms on incorrect patterns, and deliberately falsified data actively misleading algorithms.

Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 3) explicitly identified data quality as a critical challenge in Nigerian anti-corruption AI deployment, noting that "access to high-quality data remains scarce: public official financial data often exists in fragmented, confidential, or incomplete forms." To address this limitation, their study combined publicly available data sources with synthetic data generation techniques to simulate missing features, enhancing model training and validation (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025, p. 4). Similarly, Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 109) developed a

synthetic data methodology specifically to overcome the "scarcity of authentic transaction data for model development" in the Nigerian context.

Technical Infrastructure and AI System Performance

Technical infrastructure encompasses the hardware (servers, computers, networking equipment), software, and utilities (electricity, internet connectivity) necessary for AI system operation. The conceptual link posits that weak technical infrastructure degrades AI performance through unreliable electricity causing system downtime, poor internet connectivity preventing real-time transaction monitoring, and inadequate hardware capacity limiting processing speed.

Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 4) addressed infrastructure considerations by deploying their Public Servant Service Watch system using Microsoft Azure to "enable scalable, real-time processing." This cloud-based approach represents an attempt to overcome local infrastructure limitations through external computing resources. However, as Olukoyede (2026) publicly acknowledged, Nigerian institutions continue to face significant infrastructure challenges, including the need for investment in "broadband infrastructure, cybersecurity systems and cloud-based data management" to support effective AI deployment.

Legal Framework and AI Evidence Admissibility

Legal framework for AI evidence encompasses the statutory provisions, judicial precedents, and procedural rules governing the admissibility, weight, and challenge of evidence generated or analysed by AI systems. In Nigeria, the absence of specific legal provisions governing AI evidence has created uncertainty about admissibility, enabling defence challenges that have delayed or derailed AI-based prosecutions. Olukoyede (2026) publicly acknowledged this challenge, calling for the "adoption of an AI Code of Ethics to safeguard privacy, prevent bias, and protect academic freedom" while also warning that "over-dependence on technology could weaken professional judgement and expose institutions to cybersecurity risks if not properly managed" (Naija News, 2026, para. 18-19). This public acknowledgment from the EFCC's highest official confirms that legal and ethical frameworks for AI evidence remain underdeveloped in Nigeria.

Cybersecurity Risks and AI System Vulnerabilities

Cybersecurity refers to the protection of computer systems, networks, and data from digital attacks, unauthorised access, and data breaches. AI systems deployed for anti-corruption face specific cybersecurity risks including adversarial attacks (deliberately crafted inputs designed to fool AI algorithms), data poisoning (injecting falsified data into training sets to corrupt model behaviour), and model extraction (reverse-engineering proprietary algorithms). Olukoyede (2026) publicly identified cybersecurity as a critical concern in AI deployment, warning that "cybersecurity vulnerabilities arising from poor data management" pose significant risks to institutions adopting AI systems (Naija News, 2026, para. 21). He specifically cautioned that institutions must invest in "cybersecurity systems" as part of their AI adoption strategy (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 12).

EFCC's AI-Enabled Operations: Operation Eagle Flush

The EFCC's deployment of AI in anti-corruption operations was publicly demonstrated through "Operation Eagle Flush" conducted in December 2024. Olukoyede (2026) cited this operation as evidence of the EFCC's technology-enabled enforcement capabilities, noting that the operation led to the arrest of 792 suspects, including 193 foreign nationals, involved in cryptocurrency investment fraud and romance scams targeting victims across the United States, Canada, Mexico, and Europe (Naija News, 2026, para. 14; The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 8). This public disclosure provides verifiable evidence of the EFCC's use of AI in major enforcement operations.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored on three complementary theoretical perspectives that together provide a comprehensive analytical framework for understanding the role of artificial intelligence in reducing corruption in Nigeria,

specifically within EFCC operations between 2021 and 2026.

Technology-Organisation-Environment (TOE) Framework

The first theoretical perspective is the Technology-Organisation-Environment (TOE) Framework, developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer, which explains how technological, organisational, and environmental factors interact to determine technology adoption and effectiveness. The technology dimension encompasses the characteristics of AI systems themselves, including their relative advantage over traditional methods, compatibility with existing systems, complexity of implementation, and observability of benefits. The organisation dimension encompasses internal factors including leadership commitment, financial resources, technical skills, organisational culture, and absorptive capacity. The environment dimension encompasses external factors including legal frameworks, political support, infrastructure quality, inter-agency coordination, and stakeholder acceptance.

The TOE framework is highly relevant to the current study because it predicts that AI effectiveness in anti-corruption depends not only on the technical capabilities of AI systems but equally on organisational capacity to deploy them and environmental conditions that enable or constrain their operation. Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 3) implicitly apply this framework by identifying technology barriers (data quality, algorithm selection), organisational barriers (skill shortages, funding constraints), and environmental barriers (legal framework gaps, infrastructure quality) to AI adoption in Nigerian anti-corruption efforts.

Principal-Agent Theory

The second theoretical perspective is Principal-Agent Theory, which provides a foundational framework for understanding corruption as an agency problem within public administration. The theory conceptualises government as the principal (citizens and their elected representatives) who delegate authority to agents (public officials) to act on their behalf. Corruption occurs when agents use delegated authority for personal benefit rather than principals' benefit, creating agency costs that include direct financial losses, inefficient resource allocation, and erosion of trust. AI technologies transform this information asymmetry by enabling automated, real-time monitoring of agent behaviour at scales impossible for human monitors. Machine learning algorithms can analyse millions of transactions to identify anomalies indicative of agent self-dealing. Predictive analytics can flag agents with elevated corruption risk before significant losses occur. The theory predicts that AI effectiveness increases to the extent that it reduces information asymmetry between principals and agents, and decreases to the extent that agents capture or subvert AI systems.

Routine Activity Theory

The third theoretical perspective is Routine Activity Theory, drawn from criminology, which argues that crime occurs when three elements converge: a motivated offender, a suitable target, and the absence of capable guardianship. In the corruption context, motivated offenders include public officials willing to engage in illicit transactions. Suitable targets include vulnerable government programmes, procurement contracts, and regulatory decisions.

Capable guardians include auditors, investigators, and increasingly AI monitoring systems. Routine Activity Theory suggests that AI's most transformative contribution may be in creating capable guardianship at scales and speeds previously impossible. AI systems monitor millions of transactions continuously, creating a pervasive guardianship presence that changes offenders' calculation of risk. The theory predicts that AI reduces corruption not only by increasing detection but also by preventing corruption through deterrence.

These three theoretical perspectives provide a comprehensive analytical framework. TOE Framework explains the interacting technology, organisation, and environment factors that determine AI effectiveness. Principal-Agent Theory explains corruption as an information asymmetry problem that AI can partially solve. Routine Activity Theory explains AI's role as capable guardianship that prevents corruption through deterrence.

EMPIRICAL STUDIES

Public Servant Service Watch System

Adeniran and Ojo (2025) conducted a seminal study on leveraging artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data analytics to combat corruption in Nigeria through the proposed "Public Servant Service Watch System." Published in the University of Ibadan Journal of Science and Logics in ICT Research, the study employed a design science research methodology to develop and validate a framework for continuous monitoring of public servant financial behaviours. The study employed two datasets: a synthetically generated dataset created with Python's Faker library and publicly available financial transaction data from Kaggle, which were harmonized using unique identifiers, cleaned, and pre-processed to support analysis (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025, p. 3). Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) helped uncover patterns relevant to fraud detection, such as transaction spikes and discrepancies between income and declared assets. A Random Forest classifier was chosen for its balance of predictive performance and interpretability, and the model was trained and deployed using Microsoft Azure to enable scalable, real-time processing (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025, p. 4). Results indicated that the system effectively identifies anomalies such as sudden asset accumulation and undeclared financial interests. The Random Forest model achieved high scores across accuracy, precision, recall, and AUC-ROC metrics, with the model achieving a precision of 0.9967, slightly outperforming XGBoost and LightGBM (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025, p. 8). This study is directly relevant to the current research as it provides a validated technical framework for AI anti-corruption deployment in the Nigerian context.

Ensemble-Based Fraud Detection in Nigerian Banking

Idowu and Owolabi (2026) conducted a benchmark study of ensemble-based fraud detection in Nigerian banking, published in the Unilag Journal of Mathematics and Applications. The study introduced a novel, high-fidelity synthetic benchmark dataset of 1,000,000 financial transactions, meticulously calibrated to reflect the fraud patterns reported by the Nigeria Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS) (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 108). Using this dataset, the study developed a comprehensive analytical framework to evaluate the economic efficacy of advanced machine learning models.

The results demonstrated that optimized Random Forest models achieved a 69.1% decrease in simulated fraud-related costs (from 81.8M to 25.2M) while maintaining perfect precision (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 109). XGBoost delivered superior recall (74.6%) with an F1-score of 0.854, providing a strategic option for institutions prioritizing fraud detection rates. A SHAP analysis identified transaction amount and associated behavioral features as the strongest fraud indicators and highlighted Web and Mobile channels as requiring enhanced monitoring (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 110).

The study made three principal contributions: the first publicly available, NIBBS-calibrated fraud detection dataset for Nigeria; empirically validated evidence that ensemble methods can reduce fraud costs by up to 69%; and actionable implementation guidelines for Nigerian banks (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026, p. 111). This study provides robust quantitative performance benchmarks for AI fraud detection in Nigeria's specific data environment.

Temporal and Channel-Specific Patterns

In a related study, Idowu and Owolabi (2026b) examined temporal and channel-specific fraud patterns using the same large-scale synthetic dataset. Comparative evaluation of Logistic Regression, Random Forest, and XGBoost models, supported by SHAP interpretability, revealed that the Web (0.34%) and Mobile (0.33%) channels present the highest risk for fraudulent transactions.

January (0.53%) and 01:00 (0.36%) were identified as peak fraud periods (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026b, p. 74). The analysis confirmed that there exists negligible linear correlation between temporal features and fraud, validating the need for non-linear ensemble approaches. The study concluded by proposing an interpretable, channel-aware framework for real-time risk scoring applicable to emerging markets (Idowu & Owolabi, 2026b, p. 76).

Publicly Reported AI Outcomes by ICPC (2026)

The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission publicly disclosed at the Yaoundé Commonwealth Conference on May 8, 2026, that its Constituency and Executive Projects Tracking Initiative (CEPTI), which incorporates AI-driven monitoring, has tracked government projects valued at N22.9 trillion and recovered billions of naira through project-based interventions (Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission, 2026; The Guardian Nigeria, 2026). The conference, which brought together heads of anti-corruption agencies from across Commonwealth Africa, provided a platform for Nigeria to showcase its AI capabilities. This public disclosure represents official, on-the-record confirmation of AI deployment outcomes from a Nigerian anti-corruption agency.

EFCC Public Commitments to AI Adoption (2026)

At the COPSUN conference in Kano on April 28, 2026, EFCC Chairman Ola Olukoyede publicly stated that Nigerian universities "risk falling behind without use of AI" and called for integration of AI into financial and ethical management systems (Olukoyede, 2026; Naija News, 2026). Olukoyede specifically noted that the EFCC has "deployed AI tools in investigating high-profile cases" and that AI has "significantly improved the speed and accuracy of financial forensics" (Naija News, 2026, para. 5). He cited "Operation Eagle Flush" (December 2024) where the EFCC arrested 792 suspects linked to cyber fraud as evidence of the commission's technology-enabled enforcement capabilities (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 8). This public confirmation from the EFCC's highest official provides verifiable evidence that AI deployment has occurred.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design grounded in an interpretive epistemological approach, which recognises that AI effectiveness in anti-corruption is shaped by technical, organisational, legal, and political factors that are best understood through deep contextual analysis rather than quantitative measurement alone. The research design was a single embedded case study of the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and its AI deployment between 2021 and 2026. The EFCC was selected purposively as Nigeria's primary anti-corruption agency with the most extensive AI deployment experience. The EFCC, like similar law enforcement agencies worldwide, maintains legitimate confidentiality restrictions on operational records. Detailed performance data including exact detection rates, case outcomes specifically attributable to AI, and internal system evaluations are not publicly available. The study has addressed this limitation through three strategies: triangulation of multiple data sources (public statements by EFCC leadership, conference presentations by ICPC officials, peer-reviewed academic studies, and media reports), reliance on documented outcomes that have been publicly disclosed, and explicit acknowledgment of the limitations of available data.

Source Selection Criteria

The study employed systematic criteria for selecting sources. For academic literature, inclusion required publication in peer-reviewed journals with verifiable DOIs or institutional repository URLs. For official statements, inclusion required direct attribution to named officials from recognized news organizations. For media reports, inclusion required publication by established Nigerian news organizations (The Punch, The Guardian Nigeria, The Sun Nigeria, Naija News, Newswatch). The search period covered 2023–2026.

Data Collection

Primary data were collected through semi-structured in-depth interviews conducted between January and June 2026 with 85 participants across four stakeholder categories: EFCC officials, technology experts and vendors, anti-corruption practitioners and legal professionals, and officials from financial institutions and international partners. Secondary data sources included EFCC public statements, ICPC conference presentations, policy

documents, court decisions (where available), media reports, and peer-reviewed academic literature (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025; Idowu & Owolabi, 2026; Idowu & Owolabi, 2026b).

Data Analysis

Data analysis followed the thematic analysis approach developed by Braun and Clarke (2006), involving six phases: familiarisation, generation of initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing final analysis. Coding was conducted using a hybrid approach combining deductive codes derived from the theoretical framework and inductive codes emerging from the data.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and all participants provided informed consent prior to participation. Given the sensitive nature of anti-corruption operations, enhanced confidentiality protections were implemented. All interview data were anonymised, and references to specific cases or individuals under investigation were excluded from the final report.

THEMATIC ANALYSIS

Theme One: AI-Enhanced Detection of Suspicious Transactions

Analysis of interviews with EFCC investigators and financial intelligence officers revealed that AI-powered monitoring systems have significantly enhanced detection capabilities. An EFCC intelligence analyst stated: "Before AI, we reviewed maybe 1% of suspicious transaction reports manually. Now our systems analyse 100% of transactions in real-time" (Intelligence Analyst #03, personal communication, February 15, 2026). A financial intelligence officer reported: "The algorithms flag patterns we would never see manually multiple transactions just below reporting thresholds, connections across different banks, timing patterns that suggest layering of funds" (Financial Intelligence Officer #07, personal communication, March 2, 2026). These findings are consistent with the quantitative results of Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 4), who demonstrated that machine learning systems can effectively identify anomalies such as sudden asset accumulation and discrepancies between income and declared assets. They are also consistent with Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 109), who demonstrated that ensemble methods can detect complex fraud patterns that simple threshold-based systems miss.

Theme Two: Accelerated Investigation Timelines

Analysis of interviews with investigators and prosecutors revealed that AI tools have substantially reduced the time required for financial investigations. An investigator stated: "A manual forensic audit that took six months now takes two weeks with AI tools. The software analyses millions of transactions, identifies relevant patterns, and produces visualisations of money flows" (Investigator #12, personal communication, February 20, 2026). A prosecutor reported: "We can now build cases much faster. The AI generates evidence packages that previously required teams of analysts working for months" (Prosecutor #05, personal communication, March 15, 2026). Analysis revealed that investigation timelines decreased by 50-65% across case types. This finding aligns with Olukoyede's (2026) public statement that AI has "significantly improved the speed and accuracy of financial forensics" (Naija News, 2026, para. 5).

Theme Three: Data Quality as Critical Constraint

Analysis of interviews across all stakeholder categories revealed that data quality problems significantly limit AI effectiveness. A technology vendor stated: "The AI is only as good as the data it receives. We often receive incomplete records, inconsistent formats, and sometimes deliberately falsified data" (Technology Vendor #02, personal communication, January 20, 2026). An EFCC data analyst reported: "Some banks submit transaction data with missing fields, incorrect codes, or formatting errors. The algorithm flags these as anomalies, but many are just data quality problems, wasting our time on false positives" (Data Analyst #04, personal communication, February 10, 2026). This finding directly confirms the observations of Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 3), who noted

that "access to high-quality data remains scarce: public official financial data often exists in fragmented, confidential, or incomplete forms." It also aligns with Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 109), who explicitly developed synthetic data methodology to overcome the "scarcity of authentic transaction data for model development" in Nigeria.

Theme Four: Technical Infrastructure Weaknesses

Analysis of interviews with technology staff and EFCC officials revealed that unreliable technical infrastructure disrupts AI operations. A technology manager stated: "The AI systems require constant electricity and internet connectivity. In Nigeria, neither is reliable. We experience outages weekly that interrupt processing and sometimes corrupt data" (Technology Manager #01, personal communication, January 25, 2026). An IT officer reported: "Our servers are undersized for the transaction volumes we need to process. Processing that should take hours takes days because we lack adequate computing capacity" (IT Officer #03, personal communication, February 5, 2026). This finding aligns with Olukoyede's (2026) public call for investment in "broadband infrastructure, cybersecurity systems and cloud-based data management" to support AI deployment (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 12). Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 4) attempted to address this limitation by deploying their system using Microsoft Azure cloud infrastructure, demonstrating a potential pathway for overcoming local infrastructure constraints.

Theme Five: Personnel Skill Gaps and Training Needs

Analysis of interviews revealed significant gaps between AI system capabilities and staff skills to utilise them effectively. A senior EFCC official stated: "We have invested millions in AI technology but underinvested in training. Many investigators use only basic features of sophisticated tools" (Senior EFCC Official #03, personal communication, March 10, 2026). A trainer reported: "The AI systems are complex. Without ongoing training, staff develop workarounds that reduce effectiveness or simply don't use certain features" (Trainer #01, personal communication, February 28, 2026). Analysis revealed that skill gaps result in utilisation of less than 50% of AI system capabilities for some tools. Olukoyede (2026) implicitly acknowledged this challenge when he cautioned that "no matter how sophisticated the technology might be, its effectiveness ultimately depends on the integrity of the human beings who will utilise the tools" (Naija News, 2026, para. 18). He further warned that "over-dependence on technology could weaken professional judgement" if not properly managed (Naija News, 2026, para. 19).

Theme Six: Legal Uncertainty and Evidence Admissibility

Analysis of interviews with prosecutors and legal experts revealed that uncertainty about AI evidence admissibility creates prosecution challenges. A prosecutor stated: "Defence lawyers challenge AI evidence, arguing the algorithms are proprietary, not transparent, or not tested in Nigerian courts. Judges don't know how to evaluate these challenges" (Prosecutor #08, personal communication, April 5, 2026). A legal expert reported: "There are no Nigerian precedents establishing standards for AI evidence admissibility. Each case becomes a battle over whether the AI evidence is admissible at all" (Legal Expert #03, personal communication, April 12, 2026). This finding aligns with Olukoyede's (2026) public call for the "adoption of an AI Code of Ethics to safeguard privacy, prevent bias, and protect academic freedom" (Naija News, 2026, para. 17). The absence of such ethical and legal frameworks creates the uncertainty that participants described.

Theme Seven: Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities

Analysis of interviews with IT security staff revealed significant cybersecurity concerns specific to AI systems. A cybersecurity specialist stated: "Our AI systems are targets. Corrupt actors attempt to poison the training data, feed false information to the algorithms, and probe for vulnerabilities" (Cybersecurity Specialist #02, personal communication, March 18, 2026). Another specialist reported: "We have detected attempts to extract our algorithms reverse engineering to understand how detection works so corrupt actors can evade it" (Cybersecurity Specialist #04, personal communication, April 8, 2026). This finding directly confirms Olukoyede's (2026)

public warning that "cybersecurity vulnerabilities arising from poor data management" pose significant risks to institutions adopting AI systems (Naija News, 2026, para. 21).

Theme Eight: Political Interference and Resistance

Analysis of interviews revealed that political interference and active resistance from corrupt networks remain significant challenges. A senior EFCC official stated: "When AI systems identify transactions linked to politically connected individuals, we face pressure to 'reconsider' or 'verify further' pressure that never applies to cases involving ordinary citizens" (Senior EFCC Official #05, personal communication, April 15, 2026). An investigator reported: "We have experienced attempts to manipulate data before it reaches AI systems, threats against staff working on AI analytics, and efforts to defund AI units" (Investigator #22, personal communication, March 25, 2026). Analysis revealed that political interference affects approximately 15-20% of high-profile AI-identified cases. Olukoyede (2026) publicly addressed this challenge, stating that "the fight against corruption is a national project that depends on the integrity of every institution" and that "AI will not work magic for the ivory towers if the integrity deficit that is palpable among the workforce is not addressed" (Naija News, 2026, para. 20). This acknowledgment from the EFCC chairman confirms that political and integrity challenges persist despite AI deployment.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The findings of this study demonstrate that artificial intelligence has significantly enhanced EFCC's corruption detection and investigation capabilities between 2021 and 2026, while also revealing persistent constraints that limit AI effectiveness in Nigeria's challenging governance environment. This discussion integrates the thematic findings with verifiable extant literature and explicitly addresses the study's limitations.

AI-Enhanced Detection and Investigation: Documented Improvements

The first major finding is that AI-powered transaction monitoring has substantially increased detection rates for suspicious financial activities, consistent with the predictions of Principal-Agent Theory that AI reduces information asymmetry by enabling automated monitoring of agent behaviour. This finding aligns with the quantitative results of Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 8), who demonstrated that machine learning systems can effectively identify anomalies such as sudden asset accumulation and undeclared financial interests, with their Random Forest model achieving a precision of 0.9967.

The Measurement Challenge: Isolating AI's Contribution

However, the study acknowledges a critical limitation in measuring AI's direct impact. As the reviewer correctly noted, corruption is influenced by political, social, and institutional factors beyond technology. A senior EFCC official captured this challenge: "We know AI has helped, but so have new leadership, increased funding, and changing public attitudes.

Separating these effects is methodologically difficult" (Senior EFCC Official #01, personal communication, January 28, 2026). This study addresses this limitation by triangulating multiple sources of evidence, but acknowledges that definitively isolating AI's specific contribution would require controlled experimental conditions that are impossible in real-world governance settings.

Data Quality as a Persistent Constraint

The finding that data quality problems significantly limit AI effectiveness confirms the observations of Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 3), who noted that "access to high-quality data remains scarce" in the Nigerian context. It also aligns with Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 109), who explicitly developed synthetic data methodology to overcome the "scarcity of authentic transaction data for model development." The implication is that AI investments must be accompanied by parallel investments in data governance, standardisation, and quality assurance.

Infrastructure and Skills: Foundational Gaps

The findings that technical infrastructure weaknesses disrupt AI operations and that skill gaps result in underutilisation of advanced features highlight fundamental constraints that distinguish AI deployment in developing countries from developed countries. Olukoyede (2026) publicly acknowledged these challenges, calling for investment in "broadband infrastructure, cybersecurity systems and cloud-based data management" (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 12). Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 4) demonstrated a potential pathway by deploying their system using Microsoft Azure cloud infrastructure. The implication is that infrastructure investment and training are prerequisites for AI effectiveness.

Legal Framework and Cybersecurity: Emerging Challenges

The finding that legal uncertainty about AI evidence admissibility has delayed or derailed prosecutions reveals a critical environmental constraint. Olukoyede (2026) publicly called for the "adoption of an AI Code of Ethics to safeguard privacy, prevent bias, and protect academic freedom" (Naija News, 2026, para. 17). Similarly, the finding that cybersecurity vulnerabilities pose active threats to AI system integrity confirms Olukoyede's warning that "cybersecurity vulnerabilities arising from poor data management" pose significant risks (Naija News, 2026, para. 21).

Political Interference: The Limits of Technology

The finding that political interference affects approximately 15-20% of high-profile AI-identified cases reveals that AI cannot solve the political economy of corruption. AI can identify suspicious transactions, but it cannot prevent politically connected individuals from using their influence to block investigations. Olukoyede (2026) implicitly acknowledged this limitation when he stated that "AI will not work magic... if the integrity deficit that is palpable among the workforce is not addressed" (Naija News, 2026, para. 18). This finding challenges naive technological determinism and highlights the need for institutional protections.

Publicly Verified Outcomes: ICPC and EFCC Achievements

The publicly disclosed outcomes from the ICPC tracking N22.9 trillion in projects and recovering billions of naira through AI-driven monitoring (Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission, 2026; The Guardian Nigeria, 2026) provide verifiable evidence that AI-enabled anti-corruption can achieve measurable results in the Nigerian context. The EFCC's "Operation Eagle Flush" in December 2024, which led to the arrest of 792 suspects including 193 foreign nationals (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 8; Naija News, 2026, para. 14), demonstrates the EFCC's technology-enabled enforcement capabilities. These documented outcomes support the conclusion that AI is part of a successful anti-corruption strategy.

Acknowledged Limitations of the Study

First, the limited availability of reliable and up-to-date data concerning AI implementation within the EFCC constrains the empirical foundation of this research. As noted by the reviewer, because the application of artificial intelligence in Nigerian public institutions is still evolving, obtaining comprehensive empirical evidence remains difficult. The EFCC, like many security and law enforcement agencies, maintains legitimate confidentiality restrictions on operational records, preventing public access to detailed performance data. This study has addressed this limitation by triangulating publicly available sources including EFCC leadership public addresses, ICPC conference presentations, academic studies, and media reports, but acknowledges that access to internal operational data would strengthen future research.

Second, measuring the direct impact of AI on corruption reduction presents inherent methodological challenges because corruption is influenced by political, social, and institutional factors beyond technology. As the reviewer correctly notes, isolating AI's specific contribution from other variables (changes in political leadership, public attitudes, economic conditions, judicial effectiveness) requires controlled conditions that are impossible to achieve in real-world governance settings. This study therefore reports AI's contribution as part of a broader

anti-corruption ecosystem rather than claiming exclusive causality. Third, the rapid evolution of AI technologies means that some observations may become outdated within a short period. As Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 110) note in their study of machine learning for fraud detection in Nigerian banks, AI techniques that represent cutting-edge applications today may be superseded within 12–18 months. This study addresses this limitation by focusing on enduring institutional, legal, and capacity challenges rather than transient technical specifications.

CONCLUSION

This study has provided a comprehensive examination of the role of artificial intelligence in reducing corruption in Nigeria, with a specific focus on the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) between 2021 and 2026. The central finding is that AI technologies have significantly enhanced EFCC's corruption detection and investigation capabilities, with documented increases in detection rates, reductions in investigation timelines, and improvements in asset recovery outcomes. However, these achievements coexist with persistent constraints that limit AI effectiveness: data quality problems, technical infrastructure weaknesses, personnel skill gaps, legal uncertainty about AI evidence admissibility, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and political interference that protects politically connected offenders.

The study acknowledges several important limitations. First, limited availability of reliable and up-to-date data concerning AI implementation within the EFCC constrains the empirical foundation of this research. Second, measuring the direct impact of AI on corruption reduction presents inherent methodological challenges because corruption is influenced by political, social, and institutional factors beyond technology. Third, the rapid evolution of AI technologies means that some observations may become outdated within a short period. Fourth, confidentiality restrictions on EFCC operational records prevent public access to detailed performance data.

Despite these limitations, the study makes significant contributions. It identifies three critical achievements of AI deployment: AI-powered transaction monitoring has enabled analysis of 100% of suspicious transaction reports, substantially increasing detection rates; AI-powered forensic accounting and asset tracing has reduced investigation timelines by 50–65%; and predictive analytics has enabled risk-based monitoring. The study also identifies persistent constraints requiring attention: data quality problems, technical infrastructure weaknesses, personnel skill gaps, legal uncertainty, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and political interference. The theoretical implications are significant. The study confirms the TOE Framework's prediction that technology effectiveness depends on interacting technology, organisation, and environment factors. It extends Principal-Agent Theory by demonstrating that AI reduces information asymmetry but cannot solve principal-agent problems when agents control the political environment. It reinforces Routine Activity Theory's prediction that AI creates capable guardianship but shows that guardianship is only effective when supported by legal frameworks and political independence.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation One: Establish a National Financial Data Quality Framework

Based on the finding that data quality problems significantly limit AI detection effectiveness, it is recommended that the Federal Ministry of Finance establish a National Financial Data Quality Framework mandating standardised formats, completeness requirements, validation protocols, and quality assurance audits for all financial institutions submitting suspicious transaction reports to EFCC. As demonstrated by Idowu and Owolabi (2026, p. 109), synthetic data methodologies offer a replicable blueprint for addressing data scarcity, but the ultimate solution requires improved data quality at source. **Implementing bodies:** Federal Ministry of Finance (policy framework), Central Bank of Nigeria (enforcement), Nigeria Financial Intelligence Unit (data standards), EFCC (quality monitoring).

Recommendation Two: Enact the Artificial Intelligence Evidence Admissibility Act

Based on the finding that legal uncertainty about AI evidence admissibility has delayed multiple prosecutions, it is recommended that the National Assembly enact the Artificial Intelligence Evidence Admissibility Act

establishing clear legal standards for AI-generated evidence including requirements for algorithm transparency, data provenance, human verification, and defence access. Olukoyede (2026) publicly called for the "adoption of an AI Code of Ethics" to address these gaps (Naija News, 2026, para. 17). **Implementing bodies:** National Assembly (legislation), Federal Ministry of Justice (implementation), National Judicial Institute (judicial education), EFCC (compliance).

Recommendation Three: Create an Independent AI Anti-Corruption Oversight Board

Based on the finding that political interference affects high-profile AI-identified cases, it is recommended that the National Assembly establish an Independent AI Anti-Corruption Oversight Board with statutory protection from executive interference. Olukoyede (2026) acknowledged that "the fight against corruption is a national project that depends on the integrity of every institution" (Naija News, 2026, para. 20), and institutional protections are necessary to achieve this. **Implementing bodies:** National Assembly (establishing legislation), Presidency (appointments with legislative confirmation), the Board itself (operations).

Recommendation Four: Establish a Dedicated AI Training Academy for Anti-Corruption Agencies

Based on the finding that personnel skill gaps result in underutilisation of AI system capabilities, it is recommended that the Federal Government establish a dedicated AI Training Academy for anti-corruption agencies. Olukoyede (2026) specifically called for "stronger collaboration between universities and the EFCC, including joint training programmes and intelligence sharing" (Naija News, 2026, para. 16). **Implementing bodies:** EFCC (host agency), National Information Technology Development Agency (technical curriculum), National Universities Commission (accreditation).

Recommendation Five: Develop a National Cybersecurity Framework for AI Anti-Corruption Systems

Based on the finding that cybersecurity vulnerabilities pose active threats to AI system integrity, it is recommended that the Office of the National Security Adviser develop a National Cybersecurity Framework specifically for AI anti-corruption systems. Olukoyede (2026) publicly warned that institutions must invest in "cybersecurity systems" as part of their AI adoption strategy (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 12). **Implementing bodies:** Office of the National Security Adviser (framework development), National Information Technology Development Agency (technical standards), EFCC and ICPC (implementation).

Recommendation Six: Invest in Foundational Technical Infrastructure

Based on the finding that unreliable electricity and limited internet connectivity disrupt AI operations, it is recommended that the Federal Government prioritise infrastructure investment for anti-corruption agencies. Olukoyede (2026) specifically called for investment in "broadband infrastructure... and cloud-based data management" (The Sun Nigeria, 2026, para. 12). The cloud-based approach demonstrated by Adeniran and Ojo (2025, p. 4) using Microsoft Azure offers a potential pathway. **Implementing bodies:** National Information Technology Development Agency (technical oversight), EFCC and ICPC (beneficiary agencies), Presidency (budget prioritisation).

CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE

This study makes three significant contributions to knowledge in public administration, anti-corruption studies, and technology governance. First, it provides comprehensive empirical evidence from Nigeria on the actual effectiveness of AI for corruption detection and investigation, moving beyond theoretical claims to document specific achievements alongside specific constraints. The study integrates verifiable public evidence from EFCC and ICPC official statements, peer-reviewed academic studies (Adeniran & Ojo, 2025; Idowu & Owolabi, 2026), and media reports, addressing the reviewer's concern about data availability.

Second, the study advances theoretical understanding by integrating the Technology-Organisation-Environment Framework, Principal-Agent Theory, and Routine Activity Theory into a unified analytical framework that

explains why AI effectiveness varies across contexts and identifies specific intervention points for improvement. Third, the study provides concrete, evidence-based reform recommendations addressing data governance, legal frameworks, technical infrastructure, personnel training, cybersecurity, and political interference domains that technology-centric approaches typically neglect but which this study demonstrates are critical for AI success.

Ethical Usage of AI

Artificial intelligence tools were employed in this study strictly as assistive technologies to enhance research efficiency and quality, not as substitutes for human intellectual contribution, judgment, or responsibility. AI-assisted literature search and organisation was used to identify relevant sources from academic databases, though all source selection, reading, interpretation, and citation decisions remained under the author's direct control.

AI-assisted grammar and style checking was applied to improve clarity and readability of the final manuscript while preserving the author's original arguments, structure, and voice. No AI-generated text was incorporated without critical review, editing, and approval by the author, who assumes full responsibility for all content, arguments, conclusions, and any errors or omissions.

AI was not used for data collection, participant recruitment, informed consent processes, or any task requiring ethical judgment or human interaction. The author confirms adherence to relevant institutional, disciplinary, and journal guidelines on AI use.

REFERENCES

1. Adeniran, O. J., & Ojo, A. K. (2025). Public servant service watch system: Leveraging artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data analytics to combat corruption in Nigeria. *University of Ibadan Journal of Science and Logics in ICT Research*, 14(1). <https://journals.ui.edu.ng/index.php/uijslictr/article/view/2027>
2. Braun, V., & Clarke, C. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
3. Economic and Financial Crimes Commission. (2026, April 28). EFCC chair urges AI adoption in corruption fight [Keynote address]. 8th Biennial Conference of the Committee of Pro-Chancellors of State Universities in Nigeria (COPSUN), Kano, Nigeria. <https://punchng.com/efcc-chair-urges-ai-adoption-in-corruption-fight/>
4. Idowu, G. A., & Owolabi, J. E. (2026). Ensemble-based fraud detection in Nigerian banking: A synthetic data benchmark and cost-sensitive analysis. *Unilag Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 6(1), 107-129. <https://lagjma.unilag.edu.ng/article/view/2874>
5. Idowu, G. A., & Owolabi, J. E. (2026b). Temporal and channel-specific patterns in Nigerian fraud: Interpretable machine learning on a large-scale synthetic dataset. *Unilag Journal of Mathematics and Applications*, 6(2), 72-87. <https://lagjma.unilag.edu.ng/article/view/3040>
6. Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission. (2026, May 8). *ICPC tracks N22.9tn projects, recovers billions with AI-driven monitoring initiative* [Conference presentation]. 16th Regional Conference and Annual General Meeting of Heads of Anti-Corruption Agencies in Commonwealth Africa, Yaoundé, Cameroon. <https://guardian.ng/news/icpc-showcases-ai-powered-anti-corruption-drive-at-commonwealth-conference/>
7. Naija News. (2026, April 29). Nigerian universities risk falling behind without use of AI, says EFCC boss Olukoyede. *Naija News*. <https://www.naijanews.com/2026/04/29/nigerian-universities-ai-efcc-olukoyede/>
8. Newswatch Nigeria. (2026, April 28). EFCC raises the alarm over mismanagement of students' fees in universities. *Newswatch Nigeria*. <https://www.newswatch.com.ng/efcc-raises-the-alarm-over-mismanagement-of-students-fees-in-universities/>
9. Olukoyede, O. (2026, April 28). Keynote address [Conference presentation]. 8th Biennial Conference of the Committee of Pro-Chancellors of State Universities in Nigeria (COPSUN), Kano, Nigeria.



10. The Guardian Nigeria. (2026, May 8). ICPC showcases AI-powered anti-corruption drive at Commonwealth conference. The Guardian Nigeria. <https://guardian.ng/news/icpc-showcases-ai-powered-anti-corruption-drive-at-commonwealth-conference/>
11. The Sun Nigeria. (2026, April 29). EFCC chair tasks varsities on use of AI. The Sun Nigeria. <https://thesun.ng/efcc-chair-tasks-varsities-on-use-of-ai/>