An Architectural Framework for Energy- and Network-Efficient Mobile Tracking via Adaptive Sampling and Motion-State Filtering

Barka Piyinkir Ndahi[1,*], Ali Baba Dauda[1], Mohammed Shamsudeen Mamman[1], Onuche Gideon Atabo[2]

[1]Dept. of Computer science, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

[2]Department of Comp Sci., Kogi State College of Education, Ankpa, Nigeria

***Corresponding Author**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2026.110200069

Received: 19 February 2026; Accepted: 24 February 2026; Published: 11 March 2026

ABSTRACT

Mobile Global Positioning System (GPS) tracking plays a critical role in navigation, logistics, personal security, and asset monitoring applications. However, continuous GPS polling on mobile devices leads to excessive battery consumption and increased network communication overhead. This paper presents the architectural design and prototype implementation of an adaptive mobile tracking framework developed for the Android platform. The proposed approach integrates motion-state detection using accelerometer-based Signal Vector Magnitude (SVM), velocity-adaptive sampling intervals, battery-aware modulation, and spatiotemporal filtering for GNSS data validation. The system is formulated as a multi-objective control framework balancing positioning accuracy, energy consumption, and network utilization. A controlled prototype implementation validates the functional feasibility and subsystem integration of the proposed optimization mechanisms within a real mobile environment. The work establishes a practical foundation for energy-aware and network-efficient mobile tracking systems, with comprehensive quantitative benchmarking reserved for future large-scale evaluation.

Keywords: Computational Sustainability, Adaptive Sampling, Multi-Objective Optimization, GNSS, Energy-Aware Computing, Inertial Triggering, mobile GPS.

INTRODUCTION

Satellite navigation systems have revolutionized modern positioning and navigation technologies (Ogobor et al., 2025). Among these, the **Global Positioning System (GPS)** and other Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) enable real-time location determination using satellite signals (Ogobor et al., 2025).

Mobile devices equipped with integrated GPS receivers provide an affordable platform for tracking applications (Verma et al., 2024). However, continuous GPS polling significantly drains battery power and increases network usage (Pramanik et. al., 2019; Kim et. al., 2021; Verma et. al., 2024). Therefore, improving the efficiency of mobile GPS tracking remains an important scientific and technical challenge.

This study proposes and validates an architectural framework for improving the energy and network efficiency of mobile GPS tracking systems.

The main objectives are:

1. Analyze existing GPS tracking methods.
2. Study satellite navigation principles and GIS integration.
3. Develop optimized tracking algorithms.

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume XI Issue II February 2026

4. Implement and test a prototype automated mobile GPS tracking system.

Background and Related Work

Satellite Navigation Systems

Satellite navigation systems determine user position by measuring signal propagation time between satellites and receivers (Montenbruck & Ramos-Bosch, 2008). Major global systems include (Madry, 2015):

- NAVSTAR GPS
- GLONASS
- BeiDou
- Galileo
- Indian Regional Navigation Satellite System (IRNSS)

GPS operates using Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), transmitting signals on L1 (1575.42 MHz) and L2 (1227.6 MHz) frequencies (Burian, 2009). Positioning is based on pseudorange measurement derived from signal propagation delay.

To compute 3D coordinates, signals from at least four satellites are required (Kondo et. Al., 2005). The navigation solution is obtained by solving nonlinear equations representing distances between the receiver and satellites.

GNSS Pseudorange Model

The pseudorange measurement to satellite i is expressed as:

$$\rho_i = c(t_r - t_t) + b_r - b_s + I_i + T_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

Where:

- ρ_i= pseudorange to satellite i
- c= speed of light
- t_r= reception time
- t_t= transmission time
- b_r= receiver clock bias
- b_s= satellite clock bias
- I_i= ionospheric delay
- T_i= tropospheric delay
- ε_i= measurement noise

The position is computed using trilateration. Differential GPS (DGPS) improves accuracy by introducing corrections from a reference station, reducing positioning error to 1–3 meters (Farrell & Givargis, 2002).

Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

GIS integrates spatial and attribute data for visualization and analysis (Ungerer & Goodchild, 2002). It includes:

- Spatial data (coordinates)
- Attribute data (descriptive information)
- Hardware and software infrastructure
- Analytical and visualization tools

GIS enables map-based tracking visualization and spatial data management.

Review of Existing Mobile GPS Tracking Systems

Conventional tracking systems use (Elhashash, 2022; Choudhary, 2024; Cullen, 2022):

- Continuous GPS polling
- Periodic data transmission
- Server-based location storage

Limitations include (Pramanik et. al., 2019; Kim et. al., 2021; Verma et. al., 2024):

- High battery consumption
- Excessive mobile data usage
- Reduced device lifespan
- Inefficient operation in stationary conditions

These limitations motivate the development of an optimized tracking method.

Proposed Method

Concept of Mobile GPS Tracking

The proposed system operates on Android smartphones equipped with GPS modules. The core idea is to activate GPS and data transmission only when necessary.

The proposed tracking solution is conceptualized as a multi-objective adaptive control system. The goal is to minimize a cost function $\boldsymbol{J}$, which balances position accuracy (ε), energy consumption, and network overhead (Ω):

$$\min J = w_1\epsilon + w_2E + w_3\Omega \qquad (2)$$

Where:

- ε= positioning error
- E= energy consumption
- Ω= network overhead

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume XI Issue II February 2026

- w_1, w_2, w_3= weighting coefficients

In the present prototype implementation, the cost function serves as a conceptual control abstraction guiding the adaptive sampling strategy.

Inertial Triggering and Motion State

To eliminate redundant GPS polling during stationary periods, the system utilizes a low-power tri-axial accelerometer to define the motion state (M). Signal Vector Magnitude (SVM) is defined as:

$$SVM = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2} \qquad (3)$$

Where a_x, a_y, and a_z are instantaneous linear acceleration measured along their respective axis.

Motion state is determined by:

$$M = \begin{cases} 1\ (Moving), & \text{if } \mid SVM - g \mid > \sigma \\ 0\ (Stationary), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad (4)$$

Where:

- $g \approx 9.81\, m/s^2$
- σ= sensor noise threshold

When M = 0, the GPS module enters Deep Sleep mode. This significantly reduces battery consumption during stationary periods (motion detection method).

Adaptive Sampling Interval

Upon detection of motion ($M = 1$), the system dynamically adjusts the GPS update interval to balance positioning resolution against energy and communication overhead. The final sampling interval T_{final} is defined as:

$$T_{final} = T(v) \cdot \beta(B) \qquad (5)$$

Where:

- $T(v)$= velocity-adaptive sampling function
- $\beta(B)$= battery-aware modulation coefficient
- v= instantaneous velocity
- B= battery level percentage

Velocity-Adaptive Scaling

The velocity-based interval function is defined as a piecewise control mechanism:

$$T(v) = \begin{cases} T_{max}, & v \le v_{low} \\ T_{mid}, & v_{low} < v < v_{high} \\ T_{min}, & v \ge v_{high} \end{cases} \qquad (6)$$

Where:

- $T_{max} = 120$seconds
- $T_{mid} = 30$seconds

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume XI Issue II February 2026

- $T_{min} = 5$seconds
- $v_{low} = 5$km/h
- $v_{high} = 40$km/h

This mechanism allows longer intervals during low-speed or pedestrian movement and shorter intervals during high-speed mobility, maintaining trajectory relevance without unnecessary sampling.

Battery-Aware Modulation

To preserve device longevity under low-power conditions, a modulation coefficient $\beta(B)$is applied:

$$\beta(B) = \begin{cases} 1.0, & B > 50\% \\ 2.5, & 20\% < B \leq 50\% \\ 10.0, & B \leq 20\% \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

As battery level decreases, the effective sampling interval increases proportionally, reducing GNSS activation frequency and communication activity.

Operational Interpretation

The multiplicative structure of T_{final} ensures that velocity sensitivity remains active while battery constraints are simultaneously incorporated. This hierarchical adaptive control enables context-aware sampling without continuous manual intervention.

The adaptive interval mechanism forms a central component of the proposed architectural framework, enabling energy-aware geolocation management under varying mobility and power conditions.

Spatiotemporal Error Filtering

To ensure coordinate integrity before storage and transmission, each location update P_i is validated using spatiotemporal consistency constraints. The acceptance condition is defined as:

$$D(P_i) = \left(\frac{\text{dist}(P_{i-1}, P_i)}{\Delta t} \leq V_{max} \right) \land (n_{sat} \geq n_{min}) \land (\text{SNR} \geq \gamma_{min}) \quad (8)$$

Where:

- $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$ represents the current coordinate
- P_{i-1} represents the previous coordinate
- Δt= time difference between updates
- V_{max}= maximum physically plausible velocity
- n_{sat}= number of satellites used in the fix
- $n_{min} = 4$(minimum required for 3D positioning)
- SNR= average signal-to-noise ratio
- γ_{min}= minimum acceptable signal threshold

In practical implementation, V_{max} may be selected according to application context (e.g., 120 km/h for urban vehicle tracking).

ISSN No. 2454-6194 | DOI: 10.51584/IJRIAS |Volume XI Issue II February 2026

Distance Consistency Constraint

The displacement between consecutive coordinates is computed as:

$$\text{dist}(P_{i-1}, P_i) = \sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (y_i - y_{i-1})^2} \quad (9)$$

The implied velocity is:

$$v_i = \frac{\text{dist}(P_{i-1}, P_i)}{\Delta t} \quad (10)$$

If $v_i > V_{max}$, the coordinate is rejected as physically implausible.

Signal Quality Constraint

A valid GNSS fix requires:

$$n_{sat} \geq 4 \quad (11)$$

and

$$\text{SNR} \geq \gamma_{min} \quad (12)$$

These constraints reduce the likelihood of multipath distortion, weak-signal drift, and transient satellite geometry instability.

Operational Interpretation

The logical conjunction structure of the filtering condition ensures that only coordinates satisfying spatial consistency and signal reliability criteria are stored or transmitted. This prevents anomalous spikes caused by temporary GNSS degradation, particularly in urban or partially obstructed environments.

Within the architectural framework, the spatiotemporal filter operates as a pre-transmission validation layer, supporting stable trajectory reconstruction while maintaining system integrity.

The proposed system is designed to enhance operational efficiency by dynamically modulating sampling intervals through a multi-objective cost function J, thereby balancing positioning resolution against hardware resource constraints within the architectural framework.

System Architecture and Implementation

Architecture

The system consists of:

1. Mobile Client (Android application)
2. Communication Subsystem (Internet/GSM)
3. Server Backend
4. Database Storage
5. Web-based Monitoring Interface

Subsystem Functions

The system architecture consists of coordinated subsystems responsible for adaptive geolocation management.

GPS Module

Handles GNSS acquisition and coordinate computation via Android Location Services. Outputs latitude, longitude, velocity, satellite count, and signal metrics.

Motion Analyzer

Implements SVM-based accelerometer processing to determine motion state and trigger GPS activation or suppression.

Optimization Engine

Executes the adaptive control logic governing velocity-based sampling, battery-aware modulation, and cost-function balancing.

Communication Manager

Implements event-driven transmission logic. Coordinates are transmitted only when meaningful displacement or state transitions occur.

Database Subsystem

Stores validated coordinates, timestamps, velocity, and signal metadata for historical retrieval.

GIS Interface

Provides real-time and historical visualization through spatial mapping tools.

Prototype Implementation and Functional Validation

Prototype Environment

The proposed framework was implemented as a prototype Android application to validate architectural feasibility and subsystem integration. The implementation utilized:

- Android Location Services API
- Accelerometer sensor interface
- Background service scheduling
- Conditional HTTP-based transmission
- Remote database storage
- Web-based GIS visualization

This evaluation represents controlled prototype validation rather than statistically rigorous multi-device benchmarking.

Functional Verification

Subsystem integration was validated under controlled mobility scenarios:

- Motion-state detection correctly suppressed GPS during stationary periods.
- Adaptive sampling adjusted intervals based on velocity categories.

- Battery-aware modulation altered update behavior under low-power conditions.
- Spatiotemporal filtering discarded anomalous coordinate spikes.

Observed system behavior indicated stable interaction between sensing, GNSS acquisition, and communication components.

Scope and Limitations

The present study focuses on architectural feasibility and prototype-level subsystem integration rather than statistically rigorous multi-device performance benchmarking. The prototype implementation was validated within a controlled environment and does not represent large-scale field deployment.

The evaluation was conducted on a single Android device configuration, and results may vary across different hardware architectures, GNSS chipsets, and Android OS versions due to power management policies and background service restrictions.

Furthermore, GNSS accuracy may differ between urban, suburban, and rural environments because of multipath effects, satellite visibility constraints, and signal obstruction. These contextual variations were not systematically benchmarked in the present study.

Comprehensive multi-device testing, cross-version Android validation, and environment-specific performance benchmarking are reserved for future research.

CONCLUSION

This study presented the architectural design and prototype implementation of an adaptive multi-objective framework for energy- and network-aware mobile GPS tracking. By integrating inertial-triggered motion detection, velocity-adaptive sampling, battery-aware modulation, and spatiotemporal filtering, the system transitions from continuous polling toward context-sensitive geolocation management.

The controlled prototype implementation validated functional subsystem integration within an Android environment. While the current work emphasizes architectural validation, the framework establishes a structured foundation for future quantitative benchmarking and extended real-world deployment.

REFERENCES

1. Anand, S., Johnson, A., & Mathikshara, P. (2019). Low power real-time GPS tracking enabled with RTOS and serverless architecture. In 2019 IEEE 4th International Conference on Computer and Communication Systems (ICCCS) (pp. 618–623). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CCOMS.2019.8821796
2. Burian, A. (2009). Reduced-complexity code synchronization in multipath channels for BOC modulated CDMA signals with applications in Galileo and modernized GPS systems (Doctoral dissertation).
3. Choudhary, A. (2024). Internet of Things: A comprehensive overview, architectures, applications, simulation tools, challenges and future directions. Discover Internet of Things, 4(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43926-024-00031-2
4. Cullen, A., Mazhar, M. K. A., Smith, M. D., Lithander, F. E., Ó Breasail, M., & Henderson, E. J. (2022). Wearable and portable GPS solutions for monitoring mobility in dementia: A systematic review. Sensors, 22(9), 3336. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22093336
5. Elhashash, M., Albanwan, H., & Qin, R. (2022). A review of mobile mapping systems: From sensors to applications. Sensors, 22(11), 4262. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22114262
6. Farrell, J., & Givargis, T. (2002). Differential GPS reference station algorithm design and analysis. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, 8(3), 519–531.
7. Kim, J., Chang, N., & Shin, D. (2021). Mobile GPS application design based on system-level power and battery status estimation. Energies, 14(17), 5333.

8. Kondo, S., Kubo, N., & Yasuda, A. (2005). Evaluation of the pseudorange performance using a software GPS receiver. Journal of Global Positioning Systems, 4(1–2), 215–222.
9. Madry, S. (2015). Global navigation satellite systems and their applications. Springer.
10. Montenbruck, O., & Ramos-Bosch, P. (2008). Precision real-time navigation of LEO satellites using Global Positioning System measurements. GPS Solutions, 12(3), 187–198.
11. Ogobor, E. A., Adewumi, A. S., & Ayantunji, G. B. (2025). Global navigation satellite systems: A key player in technological advancement. NIPES Journal of Science and Technology Research (JSTR) Special Issue, 7(1), 1847–1856.
12. Pramanik, P. K. D., Sinhababu, N., Mukherjee, B., Padmanaban, S., Maity, A., Upadhyaya, B. K., & Choudhury, P. (2019). Power consumption analysis, measurement, management, and issues: A state-of-the-art review of smartphone battery and energy usage. IEEE Access, 7, 182113–182172. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2950843
13. Ungerer, M. J., & Goodchild, M. F. (2002). Integrating spatial data analysis and GIS: A new implementation using the Component Object Model (COM). International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 16(1), 41–53.
14. Verma, R., Singh, B. K., & Zahidi, F. (2024). Management of GPS tracking systems in transportation. In Intelligent transportation system and advanced technology (pp. 251–263). Springer Nature Singapore.