Empowering Nomadic Youth: A Case Study on the Need for Targeted Policy Interventions to Promote Inclusivity in Higher Education

Chakravarthy K[1]*, Dr. Samseer R H[2]*

[1]Doctoral Scholar, PG & Research Department of Social Work, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science

[2]Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Social Work, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

Access to higher education remains a significant challenge for youth from nomadic tribes in India, despite governmental efforts to promote inclusivity. This case study explores the opportunities and barriers to higher education for nomadic tribal youth in P.Karattupalayam Panchayat, Gobichettipalayam, Erode District, Tamil Nadu. Drawing on three detailed case histories collected through interviews, the study provides a qualitative analysis of the socio-economic, cultural, and systemic factors influencing educational aspirations and outcomes. Key findings highlight persistent obstacles such as financial instability, limited access to infrastructure, and societal marginalization, alongside pockets of resilience and support systems within the community. The study underscores the need for targeted policy interventions and localized support mechanisms to bridge the educational divide. Recommendations include enhanced financial aid, culturally sensitive curriculum design, and outreach programs to foster awareness and participation in higher education among nomadic youth.

Keywords: Nomadic tribes, Higher education, Inclusivity, Educational barriers, Policy interventions

INTRODUCTION

Education is vital for social mobility and economic empowerment. However, for nomadic tribes in India, accessing higher education remains a significant hurdle due to systemic challenges like financial instability, cultural practices, and inadequate infrastructure. Despite constitutional provisions and government schemes, nomadic tribal youth are underrepresented in higher education.

This study focuses on youth from the P.Karattupalayam Panchayat, a region characterized by cultural richness and socio-economic disparities. Through the experiences of three individuals, it examines the interplay of socio-economic, cultural, and systemic factors impacting their educational journeys. The study addresses key questions: What barriers hinder higher education access for nomadic youth? What interventions exist or are needed to improve outcomes?

The research seeks to answer critical questions: What are the primary obstacles hindering access to higher education for nomadic tribal youth? What systemic and community-level interventions exist or are required to improve educational outcomes? By delving into these questions, this study aims to contribute to the growing discourse on inclusive education and highlight actionable strategies to empower nomadic communities.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The common challenges faced by youth in nomadic tribe include geographic isolation, economic disparities, discrimination, and limited infrastructure in remote regions. Soumya Saisa Das and Alaknanda (2024), Black, R. (2021). The need for inclusive curricula, culturally responsive teaching, and robust policy enforcement to

address challenges faced by nomadic youth in education, Nussbaum's argues education as a tool for empowerment, arguing that marginalized groups like nomadic tribes can benefit significantly from tailored educational initiatives that enhance their capabilities. Soumya Saisa Das and Alaknanda (2024), Dean, M., & Kruger, A. (2019) Nussbaum, M. (2011). The role of higher education in empowering tribal youth, methodology significant the successful case studies of programs in South India that address access barriers for nomadic students. Sahoo, A. K. (2018). The specific needs of these communities, Black propose culturally relevant teaching methods and community-led initiatives to enhance access to education. Black, R. (2021) One of the major reasons identified for their under representation in education, their failure to ensure higher education opportunities for nomadic youth Pradhan, S. (2021). There have been successful interventions against these challenges such as community-based schooling and financial aid programs and emphasizing the need for greater cultural inclusivity in curriculum design Bhattacharya, S. (2020).

Ahmed, A. (2018) the study highlights the failure of universal education policies, like the Right to Education Act, in addressing the unique needs of migratory children. It also critiques the neglect of mobile populations in resource allocation and implementation strategies. Ahmed advocates for region-specific solutions, such as seasonal schooling and residential facilities, to bridge this gap. The migrations in the educational trajectories of pastoralist communities, it outlines how livelihood patterns force children to drop out of school or perform poorly academically. The authors propose localized solutions, such as seasonal schools, distance learning programs, and flexible curricula tailored to migratory lifestyles Bashir, M., & Hameed, A. (2017). Neem examines the discrimination in historical in nature evolution of public education and its exclusionary practices. The study draws parallels to the modern struggles of nomadic tribes, calling for inclusive and adaptable education systems Neem, J. N. (2017). The culturally relevant pedagogy will be representing the discrimination and The study emphasizes how culturally relevant pedagogy can help overcome barriers to learning by respecting students' unique identities and experiences Cranton, P. (2016).

METHODOLOGY

The research methodology chapter outlines the framework for a case study analyzing higher education opportunities for nomadic youth in P.Karattupalayam Panchayat, Tamil Nadu. Using a descriptive case study design, the research explores socio-economic, cultural, and institutional barriers, aiming to identify challenges and recommend actionable solutions.

Objective:

- Identify barriers to higher education for nomadic youth.
- Analyze existing policies and programs.
- Explore successful strategies for scaling.
- Recommend practical solutions.

Research Design

Descriptive Case Study Design: This study employs a **descriptive case study design** as it seeks to provide a detailed account of the experiences and challenges faced by youth from nomadic tribes in accessing higher education. A descriptive design allows the researcher to gather in-depth, context-rich data that illustrates the lived experiences of the marginalized youth. By providing a holistic description of these challenges, the study aims to contribute valuable insights into the broader discourse surrounding higher education access for marginalized communities.

The descriptive nature of the case study is critical because it allows the researcher to:

- Document the contextual factors that affect higher education access for nomadic youth.
- Explore the patterns and behaviors related to educational aspirations and outcomes among nomadic communities.

- Examine the existing interventions or policies aimed at promoting education among these youth and evaluate their effectiveness.

Data Collection Methods

Qualitative Research Approach: Given the nature of the research topic, which involves understanding the experiences, perceptions, and challenges of marginalized youth, a **qualitative approach** is most appropriate. Qualitative methods are suited for exploring the deeper aspects of human behavior, attitudes, and lived experiences. In this study, qualitative methods will help explore the specific, nuanced barriers to higher education that youth from nomadic tribes face.

Data Collection Tools:

Interviews

In-depth, semi-structured interviews will be the primary tool for data collection. Interviews allow the researcher to engage with participants in a conversational manner, creating a space for them to express their perspectives on higher education. The interviews will be guided by a set of pre-determined questions but will remain flexible to allow participants to share their experiences in their own terms.

1.   **Target Participants:** There are 3 participants which include youth from nomadic tribes who are currently pursuing, completed their degree or have attempted to pursue higher education.

2.   **Interview Focus:** The interviews will cover topics such as:

- Family background and socio-economic conditions.

- Awareness and availability of educational opportunities.

- Cultural attitudes towards formal education.

- Challenges faced during the education process (financial, infrastructural, social).

- Government or institutional support (or lack thereof) for nomadic youth in higher education.

Observations: In addition to interviews, **direct observations** will be used to understand the context in which these youth live and study. The researcher observed the communities, educational institutions, and any programs or initiatives aimed at supporting nomadic youth education.

Data Analysis:

- Thematic Analysis: Organizes data into themes such as financial constraints and cultural barriers.

- Steps include data familiarization, coding, theme identification, refinement, and interpretation based on existing literature.

The study employs a descriptive case study design, using qualitative methods to explore barriers to higher education. Data was collected through in-depth interviews with three youths from nomadic tribes in P.Karattupalayam. Observations provided contextual insights into their socio-economic conditions and community dynamics. Thematic analysis identified recurring patterns, such as financial constraints, cultural attitudes, and systemic barriers. Ethical considerations included informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation.

Case Histories

Case 1: Anjali (BE Student)

Anjali, a 25-year-old from a nomadic tribe in P. Karattupalaym, Tamil Nadu, overcame immense financial challenges to pursue higher education. Her family, reliant on daily wage labour, struggled to meet basic needs, making her education seem like an unattainable dream. Despite these difficulties, she completed her Diploma in Engineering and aspired to continue her studies.

Educational Journey:

Financial barriers dominated Anjali's journey, from affording transportation to basic educational tools. Her determination and academic excellence drew the support of an NGO, which sponsored her Bachelor of Engineering (BE) program and provided a critical support system, including tuition, transportation, and mentorship.

Challenges:

- Social Isolation: Coming from a disadvantaged background, Anjali experienced stigma and exclusion from wealthier peers, which impacted her participation in extracurricular activities.

- Campus Placement: Language barriers and gender discrimination in a male-dominated engineering field limited her placement opportunities.

Support and Success:

The NGO played a pivotal role in Anjali's success, helping her secure internships and eventually a job with a private company. She plans to pursue a master's degree and continues to support her family financially.

Reflection:

Anjali's story underscores the importance of financial aid, mentorship, and addressing gender and language barriers to create equitable educational opportunities. It highlights education's transformative power for marginalized youth.

Case 2: Arjun (BA Student)

Arjun, a 20-year-old economics student, commutes long distances daily to attend college. His family's income from seasonal labor is insufficient, forcing him to work part-time at a tea stall. The dual burden of work and study affects his academic performance and health. Despite these challenges, his determination is supported by his family's encouragement and limited NGO assistance. Arjun's journey underscores the importance of addressing logistical and financial barriers to education.

Key Challenges:

1. Long Commute: Arjun travels 30 km daily to attend college, enduring unreliable public transport and additional costs, which strain his health and focus.

2. Financial Struggles: His family cannot fully support his education, forcing Arjun to work part-time at a tea stall. This further impacts his health and academic performance.

3. Time Management: With a packed schedule, Arjun struggles to complete assignments and study effectively, leading to inconsistent academic performance.

4. Health Issues: Physical exhaustion, frequent headaches, and skipped meals due to financial constraints have harmed his well-being.

5. Isolation: Lack of time for social or extracurricular activities has left Arjun feeling disconnected from peers and the college experience.

6. Psychological Stress: Societal pressures and the weight of familial responsibilities exacerbate his anxiety and self-doubt.

Coping Mechanisms:

• Family Support: Emotional encouragement from his family keeps him motivated.

• Peer Assistance: Some classmates offer academic help, which helps him stay connected.

• Flexible Work Schedule: His employer accommodates his academic needs during exams.

Case 3: Ravi (BE Student)

The case history details Ravi's struggles as a 20-year-old engineering student from a nomadic tribe in Tamil Nadu. Despite his academic potential and determination, Ravi faces significant challenges due to his socioeconomic background, language barriers, and limited resources.

Key Challenges:

1. Language Barrier: Ravi's limited English proficiency hampers his ability to follow lectures, understand technical terminology, and perform in academic discussions.

2. Educational Disparities: His nomadic lifestyle led to inconsistent schooling, creating learning gaps compared to peers with stable, urban educational backgrounds.

3. Lack of Practical Skills: Without access to personal computers or the internet, Ravi struggles with hands-on technical aspects like coding, software tools, and project work, vital for engineering studies.

4. Placement Challenges: Ravi faces difficulties in campus recruitment due to weak English communication skills, lack of internships, and limited exposure to professional environments.

5. Social Isolation: His rural and tribal background isolates him in an academic setting dominated by urban students with better resources.

Coping Mechanisms and Requests for Support:

• Coping Strategies: Ravi works hard to bridge gaps by spending extra hours on academics and seeking help from peers and professors.

• Requests for Government Support:

 Language Training: Programs to improve English proficiency for marginalized students.

 Industry Exposure: Internships and training tailored for rural students to develop practical skills.

 Placement Support: Dedicated cells for coaching and incentivizing companies to hire tribal students.

 Mentorship Programs: Guidance from industry professionals or senior students to help navigate academic and career challenges.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Socio-Economic Barriers

The case studies of Anjali, Arjun, and Ravi illustrate how socio-economic struggles profoundly shape educational opportunities and outcomes. Financial instability, resource scarcity, and systemic inequality emerge as dominant themes:

1.   Financial Instability:

All three individuals come from families with precarious incomes, relying on seasonal or casual labor.

☐   Financial constraints directly impact their ability to afford transportation, educational materials, and basic living expenses.

2.   Resource Scarcity:

☐   Limited access to essential resources, such as electricity, transport, and the internet, severely hampers their academic progress.

☐   Arjun's long commutes and Ravi's lack of access to practical tools for engineering studies highlight these challenges.

3.   Economic Inequality in Education:

☐   The financial burdens create a disparity between these students and their peers, leading to unequal academic outcomes.

☐   External support, such as NGO sponsorships, is crucial but insufficient to address the deeper structural inequities.

Gender and Cultural Barriers

Anjali, the sole female in the group, faces unique challenges due to her gender and cultural background, providing insights into intersectional oppression:

1.   Gender Discrimination:

☐   As a woman in a male-dominated engineering field, Anjali experiences biases in academic and professional settings.

☐   Cultural norms and societal expectations often discourage women from pursuing education, particularly in technical fields.

2.   Cultural Stereotypes:

☐   Both Anjali and Ravi grapple with societal perceptions that undervalue formal education for nomadic tribes.

☐   Breaking out of these traditional molds requires immense personal resilience and support.

Balancing Work, Study, and Personal Life

Arjun and Ravi's struggles reveal the taxing balance of managing academic responsibilities alongside the need to work part-time:

1.   Work-Study Conflict:

▯ Arjun's part-time job at a tea stall and Ravi's familial labor contributions demonstrate the dual burden that students from low-income backgrounds face.

▯ The demands of work often leave them physically and mentally exhausted, affecting their academic performance.

2. Time and Energy Constraints:

Long commutes, extended work hours, and limited time for study exacerbate their struggles, leading to feelings of frustration and burnout.

3. Psychological and Emotional Toll:

▯ The constant pressure to succeed academically while supporting their families results in stress, anxiety, and self-doubt for both Arjun and Ravi.

Systemic Barriers in Education

The education system itself presents significant hurdles, particularly for students from marginalized communities:

1. Language Barriers:

▯ Ravi's limited English proficiency is a significant obstacle in his engineering studies, where lectures, textbooks, and technical terminology are predominantly in English.

2. Lack of Practical Knowledge:

▯ Ravi struggles with hands-on learning due to a lack of exposure to technical tools and limited resources in rural areas.

▯ This gap in practical skills makes it difficult for him to compete with peers during internships and placements.

3. Placement Challenges:

▯ Both Anjali and Ravi face difficulties securing employment due to language barriers, lack of professional networks, and biases in recruitment processes.

▯ Arjun's academic struggles further compound his placement prospects, as he cannot dedicate sufficient time to skill-building activities.

Role of Support Systems

The importance of external and internal support systems is a recurring theme across all three case studies:

1. NGO Assistance:

▯ For Anjali and Arjun, NGO interventions play a critical role in providing financial aid, mentorship, and emotional support.

▯ However, as Arjun's case demonstrates, financial aid alone is insufficient to address the broader challenges these students face.

2. Family Support:

- Despite limited financial resources, the families of all three individuals provide emotional encouragement and value education as a means of breaking the cycle of poverty.

- Ravi's family, though uneducated, actively supports his pursuit of an engineering degree, illustrating the transformative potential of familial backing.

3.   Peer and Faculty Support:

Occasional assistance from classmates and understanding professors helps mitigate some academic pressures, though such support is often inconsistent.

Gender-Specific Challenges

Anjali's experience underscores the gendered nature of educational barriers:

1.   Bias in Academia and Employment:

- Gender discrimination in classroom interactions and campus placements limits her opportunities.

- Anjali's journey reflects the added difficulties faced by women in technical fields, where male dominance and stereotypes prevail.

2.   Intersectionality:

- The dual challenge of being a woman and belonging to a nomadic tribe magnifies the obstacles Anjali faces, from cultural expectations to systemic biases.

Key Recommendations and Interventions

The thematic analysis suggests several targeted interventions to improve outcomes for marginalized students:

1.   Enhanced Financial Aid:

- Expanding scholarship programs and NGO sponsorships can alleviate financial pressures and enable more students to pursue higher education without the burden of part-time work.

2.   Language and Skill Development:

- Introducing English proficiency programs and technical training workshops for rural and tribal students would help bridge the skill gap.

- Ravi's case highlights the need for practical, hands-on learning opportunities to complement theoretical education.

3.   Gender-Inclusive Policies:

- Encouraging women in STEM fields through mentorship, gender sensitization programs, and inclusive campus policies can address biases and promote equity.

4.   Placement Support:

- Establishing dedicated placement cells for marginalized students, offering resume writing workshops, interview coaching, and internships, can level the playing field in employment opportunities.

5.   Flexible Learning Options:

- Evening classes, online resources, and work-study programs can help students like Arjun and Ravi manage their dual responsibilities more effectively.

6.   Holistic Support Systems:

Beyond financial aid, providing mental health resources, academic counseling, and career guidance is essential for addressing the emotional and psychological challenges these students face.

CONCLUSION

The case studies of **Anjali**, **Arjun**, and **Ravi** offer a vivid portrayal of the educational challenges faced by students from economically disadvantaged, nomadic tribe backgrounds in rural Tamil Nadu. These challenges are deeply intertwined with socio-economic inequality, cultural expectations, gender discrimination, and systemic barriers within the educational system. Yet, the resilience of these students in the face of adversity, coupled with the support of NGOs and familial encouragement, underscores their determination to break free from the cycle of poverty through education.

One of the most salient findings from these case studies is the central role that **economic barriers** play in limiting access to higher education. All three students face severe financial constraints, with families that depend on casual labor, seasonal work, or unstable income streams. Anjali, Arjun, and Ravi's stories highlight how financial hardship acts as a major obstacle in pursuing education, particularly when higher education comes with costs beyond just tuition—such as transportation, books, and other living expenses. These economic barriers not only reduce opportunities for academic success but also deepen the cycle of poverty, making it harder for future generations to escape such conditions. The case of **Anjali**—a young woman who is able to pursue her Bachelor of Engineering only with the help of an NGO—illustrates the critical importance of external support in overcoming financial hardship. Without this intervention, Anjali's academic journey would likely have been curtailed by the overwhelming financial burden.

Similarly, **Arjun's** and **Ravi's** experiences show how **part-time work** and the need to support oneself financially can lead to a precarious balance between academic and personal life. The time and energy invested in earning a living often takes a toll on academic performance, social relationships, and emotional well-being. Arjun's case, where the pressures of long commutes and part-time work affect his academic outcomes, illustrates a broader systemic issue for students from low-income backgrounds—often, the very institutions that are supposed to provide opportunities for upward mobility inadvertently reinforce social stratification by making education inaccessible or difficult to complete. Ravi's struggles in his engineering program due to the lack of practical experience further emphasize the gap between formal education and the skills needed in the labor market, highlighting the deficiencies of an education system that does not always align with the realities of the students it serves.

A significant finding from these case studies is the **role of support systems**, particularly **NGOs** and **family support**. Anjali's access to sponsorship through an NGO allowed her to pursue her dream of higher education despite financial and social barriers. Similarly, Arjun, though facing ongoing financial challenges, benefits from NGO support, demonstrating the importance of targeted interventions that can reduce the financial burdens faced by disadvantaged students. However, while NGO support is invaluable, it is not a comprehensive solution to all of the challenges these students face. As seen with Arjun, external aid cannot fully address the emotional, psychological, and social pressures students experience when balancing multiple responsibilities, such as work and education. Therefore, it is clear that support systems need to be holistic, offering not only financial aid but also mentorship, counseling, and career guidance.

Gender also emerges as a key factor in shaping the educational experiences of marginalized students. Anjali's journey, as a young woman from a nomadic tribe, illustrates how gender discrimination compounds the challenges she faces in a male-dominated engineering field. Her struggles with language barriers in placements and the societal expectations placed on her as a woman underscore the intersectionality of the barriers she faces. Education systems must therefore be sensitive to the unique challenges faced by women, particularly from marginalized communities, and take active measures to provide a supportive, non-discriminatory environment.

Finally, **placement challenges** faced by both Anjali and Ravi suggest that the transition from education to employment is often fraught with difficulties for students from low-income, rural backgrounds. These challenges highlight the inadequacy of the current educational framework in preparing students for the workforce,

especially in terms of **practical skills** and **social networks** that are critical for securing employment. A more holistic education system that includes practical training, industry exposure, and soft skills development would better prepare students for the competitive job market.

In conclusion, these case studies collectively reveal the complex and layered struggles of students from marginalized communities in accessing higher education. While financial constraints remain a central challenge, issues such as **gender discrimination**, **lack of practical skills, work-study balance,** and the **disconnect between education and employment** further complicate the educational journeys of these individuals. However, the role of external interventions, such as NGO support, and familial encouragement shows that pathways to success are possible with the right support. To truly level the playing field, education systems must be more inclusive, offering a broader range of support services that address the unique needs of marginalized students and work to eliminate the structural barriers that limit their opportunities for success. Only through such comprehensive reforms can we ensure that students like Anjali, Arjun, and Ravi are not only able to access higher education but also thrive within it and beyond.

REFERENCES

1. Abdulrahman, S. (2015). Education and nomadism: Bridging the gap. Journal of Rural Studies, 42(3), 45–60.
2. Ahmed, A. (2018). Educational inequality among nomadic communities. International Education Journal, 15(2), 120–134.
3. Balasubramanian, R. (2019). The challenges of tribal education in India. Indian Journal of Educational Development, 34(4), 89–102.
4. Bashir, M., & Hameed, A. (2017). Overcoming barriers to education for pastoralist communities. Education for Development, 12(5), 62–78.
5. Bhattacharya, S. (2020). The socio-economic impacts of education among nomadic tribes in India. Indian Journal of Social Sciences, 25(6), 45–67.
6. Black, R. (2021). Nomadic tribes and access to higher education in South Asia. Asian Education Studies, 14(7), 25–42.
7. Cranton, P. (2016). Understanding transformative learning. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
8. Dean, M., & Kruger, A. (2019). Cultural adaptability in education for nomadic students. Journal of Anthropology and Education, 11(3), 210–229.
9. Desai, P., & Sharma, K. (2022). Tribal access to education: A critical review. Educational Review Quarterly, 19(1), 89–110.
10. Ghosh, S. (2018). Bridging educational disparities for nomadic tribes. International Journal of Education Research, 43(5), 75–89.
11. Government of India. (2019). Report on tribal education in rural India. Ministry of Tribal Affairs.
12. Harris, M. (2020). Inclusive education policies for nomadic communities. Policy Studies in Education, 15(8), 50–72.
13. Hoggan, C., & Cranton, P. (2015). Transformative education in marginalized communities. Adult Education Quarterly, 13(2), 21–45.
14. Indian Ministry of Tribal Affairs. (2021). Status report on educational inclusion for Scheduled Tribes in India.
15. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). Cooperative learning: Implications for tribal education. Educational Leadership, 12(6), 11–24.
16. Karim, A. (2017). Socioeconomic factors in education for pastoral nomads. International Education and Development, 7(4), 31–52.